

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-7 are allowed. Claims 9-13 and 15-19 would be allowable if written to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second 5 paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

1. 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claim 9:

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, for reasons of record 10 that can be found on page 3 in the Office action dated 09/23/2003, which is part of paper no.8.

To remedy this, claim 9 has been specifically amended. It 15 is respectfully submitted that the Applicant has no intent to introduce new matter into the claim 9 in the amendment filed July 18, 2003. The use of the word "comprising" in claim 9 is purely a grammar error made by the translator. Therefore, the Applicant wishes to replace the incorrect term "comprising" with the phrase "incorporated with" to overcome this 112 rejection. Reconsideration of the twice-amended claim 9 is therefore politely 20 requested.

2. 35 U.S.C. 132 objection to the specification:

The amendment filed July 18, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132, for reasons of record that can be found on page 2 25 in the Office action dated 09/23/2003, which is part of paper no.8.

It is respectfully submitted that the Applicant has no intent to introduce new matter into the claim 9 in the disclosure of the present application. The use of the word "comprising" in claim 30 9 has been corrected as set forth in the above AMENDMENT section

to comply with the contexts disclosed in paragraphs [0011], [0012], [0013], and [0014] of the specification. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to the specification is politely requested. The Applicant believes that this application is now in condition for 5 allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Sincerely yours,

10

*Winston Hsu*

Date: 4/24/2003

---

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No.41,526

P.O. BOX 506

15 Merrifield, VA 22116

U.S.A.

e-mail: [winstonhsu@naipo.com.tw](mailto:winstonhsu@naipo.com.tw)